

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/728,000	12/01/2000	Ross Ward Comer	MS#39124.2/40062.117USR	E 2267
7	590 12/04/2002			
Homer L. Knearl			EXAMINER	
Merchant & Gould P C PO Box 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0910			FEILD, JOSEPH H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	
		DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/728,000	COMER ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JOSEPH H FEILD	2176			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 05 November 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content o	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: 					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
 (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the 					
issues for appeal; and/or	.,				
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)	roved by the Examiner.			
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	.			
10.		JOSEPH H FEILD Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2176			
Patent and Trademark Office	<u>-</u>				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that for recapture to apply, the broadening must be with respect to "original application claims". However, in fact, for recapture to apply, the broadening applies to the claims during prosecution of the parent case--not merely the originally filed claims..